# Data Model Working Group

Closing Remarks

Chair: Mark Cresitello-Dittmar

Vice-Chair: Laurent Michel



#### STC2

- General status of documentation
  - translating vo-dml → ivoatex
  - feedback on using ivoatex
- Q: serialization into YAML
  - Would like a sanctioned approach
- Q: relation to STC-S
  - Will mechanism be needed for STC-S strings to refer to the new model(s)?



- Transform model compatibility study
  - Focus on non-linear distortion
  - Conclusions
    - Radial distortion to be considered?
    - Extension of Polynomial transform needed

- 
$$2D \rightarrow 2D$$
 or  $3D \rightarrow 2D$ 

 "Apart from that, STC transform provides a unifed representation for building transformations by combination of simple operations in any order: Yes!"



- Simple Spectral Lines V2.0
  - Presented by proxy
  - Discussion about WG path to evaluate and migrate the model for both SLAP and possibly other usage
  - Identify primary development roles
    - Modeler: Nicolas Moreau?
    - Domain: DAL WG?



- Mapping: What is mapping supposed to do?
  - Reviewed the reason why we need it
  - Demo of mapping examples, and the level of inherent complexity
    - Some from nature of what mapping is doing
    - Some from the model being mapped
  - Reminder that we need to keep both in mind when reviewing models.



- Provenance
  - Presentations of current model
    - Approach to date
    - Use cases
    - Implementations
  - Presentation of points of contention and the limitations they produce
    - Good discussion to clarify the view-points, and hone in on the core factors
    - More discussion during ADASS



- Open Errata:
  - STC
    - Sign error in formula, section 4.5.1.4
    - Sign error for area if A < 0</li>
  - ObsCore
    - UCD error using meta.curation



- Simple Spectral Lines v2.0
  - Twiki page (requirements, use cases)
    - Build on framework from 1.0?
  - WG to discuss
    - Review of new model content
    - How much to integrate into existing models
    - Generate vo-dml compliant model
    - Usefulness outside SLAP (source model?)
      - If so, consider model evolution
  - Focus on SLAP needs/timetable
    - With consideration of big picture



- STC component models
  - Coords: WD delivery by end of Nov
  - Meas: WD delivery in Dec
  - Trans: WD delivery by end of Dec
  - Continue critical evaluation of content
    - compatibility with common packages
      - (AST transforms, AstroPY coords/frames)



- PhotDM, Char port to vo-dml
  - Key players agreed to work together to make progress on these.
    - PhotDM: smaller effort will complete first
    - CharDM: larger effort, requires refactoring, will work in tandem, but will complete later.



- Provenance
  - Continue discussion during ADASS
    - Resolve contention points
    - Confirm scope of V1.0
  - Complete RFC period to gather all feedback
    - Determine next steps based on above
- Mapping Syntax
  - We have a defined and implemented syntax, cannot design simplifications without concrete feedback from alternate/external implementations.

#### See you in the Spring!

