### TAP and Authentication

- approach #1: it's horrible and it breaks stuff
- approach #3 works, BUT: introduces subtle use of interface types and mixed interface style in a single capability
- approach #2: separate #sync-1.1 and #async-1.1
  - matches the VOResource/VOSI-capabilities design
  - works the same way as all other IVOA services
- I am convinced that:
  - we made a mistake in TAP-1.0 when we specified one standardID for two features
  - when we make mistakes we have to admit it and stop doing it in order to improve our standards
  - sometimes that makes things a little more complex

# **TAP 1.1 Capabilities: Bundles**

## Why I don't much like TAP 1.1 authenticated service specification

• TAP service interaction uses several endpoints:

```
sync, async, tables, capabilities, examples
```

- Clients like TOPCAT/STILTS need to work with a bundle of these, not just pick one
- TAP 1.0: service defined by base URL
  - ▶ Bundle specification: base URL + well-known subpaths

```
http://dc.g-vo.org/tap/sync
http://dc.g-vo.org/tap/async
```

. .

- TAP 1.1: service defined by capabilities document
  - ▶ Capabilities doc provides an unstructured list of (endpoint, securityMethodID) pairs
  - ▶ Bundle specification: capabilities doc + securityMethodID + bundle-assembly rules
  - ▶ There is no base URL
- TAP 1.1 is hard work for bundle-oriented clients:
  - Fairly difficult to list available bundles to offer to users (but not impossible — I implemented it)
  - Can't specify a bundle by just giving a URL e.g. on the command line or in an email (except by fallback to TAP 1.0 rules)
  - Very difficult to deal with services that may be mirrored as well as authenticated (I gave up)

# TAP 1.1 Capabilities: Example and discussion

## PR-TAP-1.1-20181024 Section 2.4 "VOSI-capabilities":

- Explains use of capabilities file to specify endpoints
- Includes text explaining how to interpret it as bundles
- Relies on (draft) UWSRegExt Note, so provisional and non-normative
  - ▶ PR-TAP-1.1-20181024 therefore does not tell clients how to use authenticated services (just guesses how it might work in future)
  - ▶ If UWSRegExt doesn't work out as per its current draft, this section will be unhelpful/misleading

# **TAP 1.1 Capabilities: Suggestions**

## Bundles: return to Base-URL-based system

Markus suggests new DALI subtype of vr:interface (see registry mailing list 17 Oct):

- I think this would reduce the complexity of Sec 2.4 and remove the need for UWSRegExt
- <mirrorURL> elements would become usable
- Looks reasonable to me? But I'm not a registry expert

## Capabilities discussion: extract to TAPRegExt

- Capabilities section is not really core to TAP 1.1, and is anyway subject to change and non-normative
- TAPRegExt 1.1 is currently in WD
- ⇒ Punt capabilities details to TAPRegExt?
  - ... blame Markus for this suggestion too

#### **TAP** and Authentication

- approach #1: it's horrible and it breaks stuff
- approach #3 works, BUT: introduces subtle use of interface types and mixed interface style in a single capability
- approach #2: separate #sync-1.1 and #async-1.1
  - matches the VOResource/VOSI-capabilities design
  - works the same way as all other IVOA services
- I am convinced that:
  - we made a mistake in TAP-1.0 when we specified one standardID for two features
  - when we make mistakes we have to admit it and stop doing it in order to improve our standards
  - sometimes that makes things a little more complex

NRC-CNRC

### **TAP 1.1 Capabilities: Bundles**

#### Why I don't much like TAP 1.1 authenticated service specification

• TAP service interaction uses several endpoints:

sync, async, tables, capabilities, examples

- Clients like TOPCAT/STILTS need to work with a bundle of these, not just pick one
- TAP 1.0: service defined by base URL
  - ▶ Bundle specification: base URL + well-known subpaths

http://dc.g-vo.org/tap/sync http://dc.g-vo.org/tap/async

- TAP 1.1: service defined by capabilities document
  - ▶ Capabilities doc provides an unstructured list of (endpoint, securityMethodID) pairs
  - ▶ Bundle specification: capabilities doc + securityMethodID + bundle-assembly rules
  - ▶ There is no base URL
- TAP 1.1 is hard work for bundle-oriented clients:
  - ▶ Fairly difficult to list available bundles to offer to users (but not impossible — I implemented it)
  - Can't specify a bundle by just giving a URL e.g. on the command line or in an email (except by fallback to TAP 1.0 rules)
  - ∨ Very difficult to deal with services that may be mirrored as well as authenticated (I gave up)

### **TAP 1.1 Capabilities: Suggestions**

#### Bundles: return to Base-URL-based system

• Markus suggests new DALI subtype of vr:interface (see registry mailing list 17 Oct):

- I think this would reduce the complexity of Sec 2.4 and remove the need for UWSRegExt
- <mirrorURL> elements would become usable
- Looks reasonable to me? But I'm not a registry expert

#### Capabilities discussion: extract to TAPRegExt

- Capabilities section is not really core to TAP 1.1, and is anyway subject to change and non-normative
- TAPRegExt 1.1 is currently in WD
- ⇒ Punt capabilities details to TAPRegExt?
  - ... blame Markus for this suggestion too

Mark Taylor, TAP 1.1 Authentication in TOPCAT/STILTS, IVOA Interop, College Park MD USA, 9 November 2018

17/1

### TAP 1.1 Capabilities: Example and discussion

#### PR-TAP-1.1-20181024 Section 2.4 "VOSI-capabilities":

- Explains use of capabilities file to specify endpoints
- Includes text explaining how to interpret it as bundles
  - Text supplied by me —
     as simple as I could make it for e.g. TOPCAT requirements, but still a bit involved
- Relies on (draft) UWSRegExt Note, so provisional and non-normative
  - ▶ PR-TAP-1.1-20181024 therefore does not tell clients how to use authenticated services (just guesses how it might work in future)
  - If UWSRegExt doesn't work out as per its current draft, this section will be unhelpful/misleading