

Registry interface proposition **VOParis**

Jonathan Normand, Pierre Le Sidaner
Observatoire de Paris

Registry actual interface

- ♦ All resources are define by xml schema
 - Search method on define fields
 - Keywordsearch search on (identifier, content/
description,title, @xsi:type,content/subject)
 - Both methods used complex ADQL1 language over SOAP

- Difficulty to query, not all registry respond, very slow and too much verbous

The Evolution proposed

Only define the service behavior not the implementation

- ◆ Rest access using **SEARCH** method

http://<my_url>/search?q=text[&text]

Plain text search in the list of fields

http://<my_url>/search?q=field:text1[&field2:text2]
search on specific field

- ◆ Return

should be the necessary fields with a link to the complete xml ressource

format ? XML mandatory, json recommended

Implementation for validation

- ♦ All classical services CS, SSA, SIA have been ingest in a no-sql database couchdb. With the field of research (capabilities, description, identifier, subject, type).
 - + easy to modify because structure is not fixed
 - + easy to maintain
 - + easy to ingest new resources (index on the fly)

For search method a search engine have been used Elasticsearch (build on top of Apache Lucene). Really powerful quick and adapted to text search. Can face increasing of res sources. Scalable

Demo on my laptop

- ◆ Look at couchdb content

http://127.0.0.1:5984/_utils

Some type of query using elastic search

Bases

http://127.0.0.1:9200/registry/registry/_search?q=stsci*

Using a output formalisation

http://127.0.0.1:9200/registry/registry/_search?q=stsci*%20%20|%20python2.7%20-mjson.tool