# What caused that event: A proposed IVOA vocabulary for Objects, Processes (and instruments)

A. Preite Martinez - inaf/it

F. Hessman - mo.ne.t./de

S. Lesteven – cds/fr

R. Williams - caltech/usa

. . .

#### the IVOA Standard Vocabulary Status – recent docs & events

 VOEvent I - Caltech 2004 UCDs, Doc (Rec) VOConcepts • SV draft (v0.3) 2005 VOEvent II - Tucson UCDs, List (Rec) 2006 SV draft (v0.8)

# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary why, what, how

- Standardise the vocabulary used to describe the data the IVOA community is working on
- Categories (of immediate IVOA usage):
  - Processes
  - Object types
  - Intrumentation (imagers, spectrographs) + theory, sim., ...
- Use UCD-like syntax: root-concept [[[ .subordinate-concept(s) ] ; concept-specification(s) ]..]
- Based upon:
  - A&A keywords (324)
  - Simbad object types (155),
  - UCD1+ word-list (379)
  - Literature (6 Journals, last 6 years)

#### the IVOA Standard Vocabulary Syntax rules (FH + APM)

- Use of normal vocabulary, abbreviations when generally accepted (ISM), hyphens and capital letters when normally used (X-ray, HII) or to bridge words (dwarfNova, absLineSystem)
- Use UCD-like dots to generate hyerarchies and semicolons to concatenate concepts:
  - stars.binary.low-mass;em.X-ray (LMXB)
- object names assumed as archetypal of an object class (RRLyrae) or discoverer's name describing an object class (Seyfert) will be sub-words of concept "class"

#### the IVOA Standard Vocabulary Syntax rules (FH + APM)

- if an object or a class of objects are commonly designated by an abbreviated form or acronym, the standard word can be replaced by its abbreviated form or acronym
  - process.variation.burst;em.gamma == alias.GRB
  - stars.variable.cataclysmic;class.AMHer == alias.AMHer
- to indicate that an object (objectX) is a member of a multiple/composite object (objectY), the qualifier "stat.member" is used:
  - objectX;objectY;[objectZ;...]stat.member
- If an object is the subject of a simulation, the qualifier "stat.sim" can be used.

# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary from v0.3 problems..

- Cumbersome "class.\*" group, inconsistently applied:
  - Corrected, but still: stars.variable;class.deltaCep (IVOA/SV) stars.variable.deltaCep-class (VOConcepts)
- Redundant/inconsistent notation:
  - ISM.cloud vs. ISM.nebula vs. ISM.region (difference?) Yes.
  - ISM.nebula.planetary (VOC) vs. ISM.planetaryNebula (SV) (do we want to preserve hierarchies?) The real problem is to preserve meaning.
- Strange atoms like source. VeryRed, source. ExtremelyRed
  - These are Simbad object types, totally bottom-up
- Still missing important things like
  - phys.particle.\*
  - obj.calib.\* to classify calibration objects (photometric, guide-stars,...)
  - obs.calib.\* to classify calibration observations

Transformed in RFMs

# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary .. to v0.8 problems ..

Precise but not very succinct:

```
"QSO radio jet" =
galaxies.active.AGN.quasar;em.radio;process.mas
s-loss.jet, but see "alias" or
galaxies.QSO;process.mass-loss.jet;em.radio ($V)
```

# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary .. to general problems (1)

• If the object is simple, the SV representation is simple (and, contrary to the title, this is not a problem!!):

```
"Star of spectral type B" => "stars.spType.B"

"Cluster of stars" => "stars.cluster"

"Cluster of galaxies" => "galaxies.cluster"

"Active galaxy" => "galaxies.active"

"White dwarf" => "stars.whiteDwarf"

"Elliptical galaxy" => "galaxies.elliptical"

"HII region" => "ISM.region.HII"
```

8

## the IVOA Standard Vocabulary .. to general problems (2)

• If the object is complex (the majority?) the SV representation (i) is long/complex, (ii) could be not unique:

```
"Star in cluster of stars" => "stars;stars.cluster;stat.member"
"Semi-regular pulsating star" =>
"stars.variable;process.variation.quasi-periodic",
but why not:
"stars.variable.quasi-periodic" or:
"stars; process.variation.quasi-periodic"

"cataclysmic variable" =>
stars.binary.close || stars.variable.cataclysmic ||
stars.variable.*.dwarfNova || stars.*;class.UGem
```

# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary .. to general problems (3)

```
"Eclipsing binary of Algol type" that could be declined as:
```

"stars.binary;process.eclipse;class.Algol"

"stars.binary.eclipsing.class-Algol" ....

"alias.Algol"

"Galactic nebula" => "ISM.nebula.galactic" or "ISM.nebula;Galaxy;stat.member"

"Supenova of type Ia" => "stars.superNova.la" or "stars.superNova.la;process.explosion", or "alias.SNIa"

## the IVOA Standard Vocabulary .. to general problems (4)

- the SV representation is sometimes implicit as our language:
  - a "Low-mass X-ray binary" is a complex system made of (i) an evolved low-mass star, (ii) the remnant of a high-mass star, (iii) an accretion disk, heated by loss of gravitational energy of matter from the low-mass star, origin of the X-ray emission.

    In SV => "stars.binary.low-mass;em.X-ray", forgetting about half a dozen physical processes (last but not least: "process.emission")
  - In a similar way, we say "Pulsar" and the SV suggests "stars.pulsar", but an equally effective way of using words of the SV would be to write "stars.neutron;process.rotation;process.emission;em.radio" and we still miss the "very fast" qualifier!

# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary ... to general problems (5)

- So in most cases the SV representation is the result of:
  - (i) a choice between "object" or "hierarchy" and "process"
  - (ii) a choice of the process most relevant to the observer
- How to deal with "common-language" qualifiers:

Late/early, high/medium/low, hot/cold, bright, fast/slow, etc.

My suggestion is to put them in the hierarchy rather than in "stat.\*" to avoid ambiguities in the concatenation of concepts.

#### different views of the same object:

variable cataclysmic | explosive | nova-like | dwarfNova close binary (eclipsing binary) dwarf | late-type K-M giant filled Roche lobe white dwarf, accretion disk, hot spot X-ray source low-state, emission lines high-state, absorption lines class UGem (SSCyg, SUUMa, ZCam)

#### the IVOA Standard Vocabulary .. is that all?

 Are we sure we are getting all the necessary semantic richness with the few hundred keywords of A&A?

Some work was done to dig into the most recent literature searching for the way the "users" describe events, processes, astronomical objects, instruments

#### The approach was:

- (1) derive statistics on the use of all "A&A keywords" in recent years
- (2) select keywords with high frequencies (>100 citations/year)
- (3) find all the sentences (in the abstract) containing the selected keywords
- (4) extract all the different meaningful semantic content

#### **Different expressions with different semantic meaning** of selected objects/keywords in the literature (years: 2000-2005):

Detail of:	journals	issues	sentences	expressions	DR(%):
abundances	2	235	2792	45	1.6
accretion	2	248	2672	130	4.9
circumstellar	2	204	592	129	21.8
galaxies active	6	445	854	41	4.8
galaxies cluster	6	781	4481	120	2.7
GRB	6	396	2205	37	1.7
hydrodynamics	2	115	192	8	4.2
mass-loss	2	122	261	30	11.5
neutron stars	2	214	1486	52	3.5
numerical	2	229	1170	76	6.5
stars binary close	6	277	409	37	9.0
stars formation	2	267	1769	129	7.3
supernova	2	248	1969	34	1.7
X-ray	6	856	14995	740	4.9

supernova core-collapse	stars.superNova;process.collapse
supernova explosion	stars.superNova;process.explosion
supernova explosion thermonuclear	stars.superNova;process.explosion.thermonuclear
supernova fallback	stars.superNova.fallback
supernova blast-wave	stars.superNova;process.shock
supernova shock	stars.superNova;process.shock
supernova ejection pencil-beam	stars.superNova;process.mass-loss.jet
supernova kick	stars.superNova;process.angMomentumTransfer
supernova enrichment	stars.superNova;process.enrichment

	·
neutron star accreting	stars.neutron;process.accretion
neutron star bursting	stars.neutron;process.variation.burst
neutron star coalescing	stars.neutron;process.merging
neutron star cooling	stars.neutron;process.cooling
neutron star evolution	stars.neutron;process.evolution
neutron star glitches	stars.neutron;process.variation.glitch
neutron star magnetar/magnetized	stars.neutron.magnetar
neutron star mergers	stars.neutron;process.merging
neutron star millisecond	stars.neutron;process.rotation
neutron star non-rotating	stars.neutron?
neutron star oscillations	stars.neutron;process.pulsation
neutron star pulsations	stars.neutron;process.pulsation
neutron star rotating	stars.neutron;process.rotation
neutron star rotating differentially	stars.neutron;process.rotation.differential
neutron star rotating fast	stars.neutron;process.rotation.fast
neutron star rotating slow	stars.neutron;process.rotation.slow
neutron star spin/spinning	stars.neutron;process.rotation
neutron star spinning rapidly	stars.neutron;process.rotation.fast
•	

(4-c/4-w): bright soft X-ray loops (4-c/4-w): modeled X-ray burst oscillations (4-c/5-w): high-mass X-ray binary pulsar transient low-mass X-ray binaries (4-c/5-w): (4-c/5-w): X-shaped soft X-ray morphology (4-c/6-w): high-luminosity accretion-powered X-ray pulsars (4-c/6-w): intermediate-mass black hole X-ray binaries (4-c/6-w): redshift-limited, X-ray-selected cluster sample X-ray-selected high-redshift radio-quiet quasar (4-c/7-w): (5-c/5-w): intrinsically absorbed X-ray emission regions inverse S-shaped X-ray sigmoid structures (5-c/5-w): (5-c/5-w): transient binary supersoft X-ray source (5-c/6-w): accretion-powered, transient, millisecond X-ray pulsars (5-c/6-w): eclipsing halo low-mass X-ray binary (5-c/6-w): extended electron-scattered hard X-ray emission (5-c/6-w): low-luminosity globular cluster X-ray sources X-ray-bright, eclipsing magnetic cataclysmic variable (5-c/6-w): type I X-ray-bursting low-mass X-ray binary (5-c/7-w):

(5-c/7-w):

X-ray-heated Roche lobe-filling secondary star

#### X-ray-heated Roche lobe-filling secondary star...

