Validation for Authoring VO Standards

Mark Taylor (University of Bristol)

Operations IG
IVOA Interop
Online

26 April 2022

\$Id: docvalid.tex,v 1.10 2022/04/26 20:24:57 mbt Exp \$



Validation during document authoring:

- Why?
- What?
- How?

Why Validate While Authoring?

It's a good idea to perform automatic checking of document contents while authoring it, or at least before it's published, in order to:

- Check the standard can be implemented
- Check you're not misleading standard users
- Save time later (avoid Errata)

Not mandatory, just (my idea of) good practice

• Tackles some operational issues by prevention rather than cure

Why: Errata

Some examples of Errata that early validation would have avoided:

- DataLink-1.0 Erratum 1: illegal VOTable RESOURCE/type attribute value
- DataLink-1.0 Erratum 4: bad ref/ID linkage in VOTable example
- VOSpace 2.1 Erratum 1: invalid XML amongst other things
- SSA-1.1 Erratum 2: illegal UCDs
- SODA 1.0 Erratum 1: illegal UCD
- ObsCore 1.1 Erratum 1: illegal UCDs
- SLAP 1.0 Erratum 1: illegal UCDs

What to Validate

Some things you can validate during document authoring:

- Normative text
 - Schemas
 - Prescribed UCDs
 - ▶ Prescribed (VO)Units
- Examples
 - > XML schema instance documents
 - VOTables
 - ▶ Whatever it is you are standardising

Validation Mechanics

Separate validatable text from main document file:

- Content (e.g. XML documents) is easier to validate in free-standing files than embedded in LATEX
- You can store validatable text in separate files and import:

If possible, integrate into document build process

- ▶ IvoaTex provides Makefile test target
- Consider making document build dependent on tests?
 - In some cases can be a bit of a pain (system-dependent tools, network dependency, slow runtime)
- ▶ At least: perform validations regularly, and immediately before publication

VO Validators

VOTable

- STILTS votlint validates VOTables
- Well-formed XML, XSD conformance, namespaces, UCDs and VOUnits, ID/refs, cell datatypes, ...

UCDs and VOUnits especially where mandated by DMs

- Ucidy Java library (Grégory Mantelet) validates UCDs
- Unity Java/C library (Norman Gray) validates VOUnits
- Use libraries directly, or via STILTS harness functions (see e.g. UCD/VOUnit usage report Nov 2021)

Others listed at IvoaValidatorsSummary wiki page

- There should be one for each applicable standard in PR/REC
- Some are more convenient for document build integration than others (standalone vs. web interface)

XML Validation

What to validate:

- If defining an XSD schema:
 - check the schema itself is correct XSD
 - > check example XML documents conform to the schema, especially examples in text, maybe other test docs too
- If examples in text use an existing schema:
 - check XML examples conform to external schema(s)
- At least check that XML examples are well-formed!

How to validate:

- Fairly easy to check well-formed XML
 - ▶ Any XML parser should report errors
- Harder to validate against schemas
 - ▶ Tools exist (xmlstarlet, xmllint, others??)
 - ▷ Can be hard to configure (e.g. to use local copies of schemas under development)
 - > STILTS 3.4-4 (04/2022) has command xsdvalidate
 - XML Schema 1.0 only
 - Somewhat experimental please complain to me if it doesn't behave

Or maybe ... schematron?

Resources

- IvoaTex Note
 - in production: https://github.com/ivoa-std/ivoatexDoc (see especially Section 3.11)
- List of validators by standard
 - IvoaValidatorsSummary wiki page
- STILTS manual
 - Sections on votlint, xsdvalidate, ucdStatus/vounitStatus, datalinklint

Summary

- Standard has associated validator?
 - ▶ if not, should it?
- Document defines XML Schema?

 - ▶ validate at least one schema instance against XSD
 - validate any example instances in the text against XSD
- Document prescribes UCDs?
- Document prescribes units?
 - check units are legal VOUnit (unless some reason why not)
- Document has example (complete/part) VOTables?
 - validate VOTables
- Document has other example XML snippets?
 - check XML is well-formed
 - ▷ validate if possible against existing XSDs

Discussion

- Opinions?
- Other tips, tools, suggestions?
- What is missing to make this easier for document authors?

CSP Discussion Input

Comments arising from CSP "Publishing Data in the VO" panel discussion (15:00 UTC today)

Alberto Micol: (presentation)

- Not always crystal clear standards (especially when dependending on cascade of standards), get ready to contact standard editors for clarifications
- ▶ At times standards evolve in unexpected ways

Tom Donaldson: (paraphrased in session notes)

> Standards should be very simple without fancy addons to address specific science cases

Anne Raugh: (zoom chat)

As a reader of standards without years of IVOA background, I have noted that some standards are quite readable and self-contained in their descriptions, while others heavily reference other standards, to the point that they are incomprehensible without having memorized the contents of the references.

Renaud Savalle/Paul Harrison: (informal discussion)

▶ IVOA standards beauty(/ugliness) contest?